

# Humber Zero Environmental Statement Volume III: Figures

VPI Immingham and Phillips 66 Limited

February 2023

### Quality information

Prepared by	Checked by	Verified by	Approved by
Various	Katie Feather, Senior Environmental Consultant	Richard Lowe, Director	Kirsty Cobb, Technical Director

Prepared for:  
VPI Immingham and Phillips 66 Limited

Prepared by:  
  
AECOM Limited  
5th Floor, 2 City Walk  
Leeds LS11 9AR  
United Kingdom  
  
T: +44 (0)113 391 6800  
aecom.com

## Table of Contents

Figure 1.1	Sites Location Plan
Figure 1.2	Proposed Phillips 66 Development Application Boundary (the Phillips 66 Site)
Figure 1.3	Proposed VPI Development Application Boundary (the VPI Site)
Figure 2.1	Site Boundaries and Parts of the Sites
Figure 2.2	Environmental Constraints Plan – 2 km
Figure 2.3	Environmental Constraints Plan – 15 km
Figure 3.1	Proposed VPI Development Indicative Layout
Figure 3.2	Proposed Phillips 66 Development Indicative Layout
Figure 3.3	Proposed VPI Development Site Layout Parameters Plans
Figure 3.4	Proposed Phillips 66 Development Site Layout Parameters Plans
Figure 6.1	Air Quality Study Area – Construction Dust Receptors
Figure 6.2	Air Quality Study Area – Operation Human Health Receptors
Figure 6.3	Air Quality Study Area – Ecological Receptors
Figure 6.4	Model Input Parameters – VPI Proposed Development
Figure 6.5	Model Input Parameters - Phillips 66 Proposed Development
Figure 6.6	VPI Baseline Assessment – Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.7	VPI Baseline Assessment – 99.79 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 1 Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.8	Phillips 66 Baseline Assessment - Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.9	Phillips 66 Baseline Assessment - 99.79 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 1 Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2021 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.10	In-Combination Baseline Assessment - Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.11	In-Combination Baseline Assessment - 99.79 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 1 Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.12	VPI Future Assessment – Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.13	VPI Future Assessment – 99.79 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 1 Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.14	Phillips 66 Future Assessment - Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2021 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.15	Phillips 66 Future Assessment - 99.79 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 1 Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2021 Meteorological Year

Figure 6.16	In-Combination Future Assessment - Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2020 Meteorological Year
Figure 6.17	In-Combination Future Assessment - 99.79 <sup>th</sup> Percentile of 1 Hour Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Process Contribution – 2021 Meteorological Year
Figure 7.1	Baseline Sound Monitoring Locations
Figure 7.2a	Predicted Daytime Construction Noise levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from Phillips 66 Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 1.5m
Figure 7.2b	Predicted Daytime Construction Noise levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the VPI Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 1.5m
Figure 7.2c	Predicted Daytime Construction Noise levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the Phillips 66 and VPI Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 1.5m
Figure 7.3a	Predicted Operational Daytime Unmitigated Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the Phillips 66 Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 1.5m
Figure 7.3b	Predicted Operational Daytime Unmitigated Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the VPI Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 1.5m
Figure 7.3c	Predicted Operational Daytime Unmitigated Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the Phillips 66 and VPI Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 1.5m
Figure 7.4a	Predicted Operational Night-Time Unmitigated Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the Phillips 66 Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 4m
Figure 7.4b	Predicted Operational Night-Time Unmitigated Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the VPI Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 4m
Figure 7.4c	Predicted Operational Night-Time Unmitigated Noise Levels (L <sub>Aeq</sub> ) from the Phillips 66 and VPI Site at Noise Sensitive Receptors, Height Above Ground 4m
Figure 9.1	Site Context and Surface Water Features
Figure 9.2	WFD Waterbodies
Figure 9.3	Fluvial and Tidal Flood Risk
Figure 11.1	Local Landscape Character Plan
Figure 11.2	Zone of Theoretical Visibility Maximum - Phillips 66 FCC Carbon Capture Plant
Figure 11.3	Zone of Theoretical Visibility Maximum - VPI Immingham Carbon Capture Plant
Figure 11.4	Zone of Theoretical Visibility Maximum - Combined
Figure 11.5	Viewpoint Locations
Figure 11.6	Viewpoint 1
Figure 11.7	Viewpoint 2
Figure 11.8	Viewpoint 3
Figure 11.9	Viewpoint 4
Figure 11.10	Viewpoint 5
Figure 11.12	Viewpoint 6

Figure 11.13	Viewpoint 7
Figure 11.14-11.34	Photomontages
Figure 13.1	Phillips 66 Site Habitat Map
Figure 13.2	VPI Site Habitat Map
Figure 13.3	eDNA Ponds
Figure 13.4	Reptile Tin Locations
Figure 13.5	Approximate Winter Bird Survey Areas
Figure 13.6	Bat Detector Locations
Figure 13.7	Water Vole Survey
Figure 18.1	Long list of developments initially considered for cumulative impact assessment
Figure 18.2	Short list of developments considered for cumulative impact assessment